

UNITED TES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS . Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	IED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	* *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***				and the state of t
_		1365, 460	, , , ,	<u> </u>	EXAMINER
		g status significant		LAMIKONTR, I	
	TARM DINNIE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 88-1 INVINE DA	PALLAGON			3640	
			•	DATE MAILED:	04/17/60

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summans	09/317,303	DONOHO, BRUC	DONOHO, BRUCE A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jack W Lavinder	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE	3 MONTH(S) FROM					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Status 	cation. s, a reply within the statuto period will apply and will o	ry minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma	ailing date of this				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 March 2000</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6,8 and 9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6, 8, 9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:							
1. received.							
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)							
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
 14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	18) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I tice of Informal Patent Application (I ter:					

Art Unit: 3644

۳ ا روه

Claims

Claims 1, 2, and 7 have been cancelled.

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 3-2-00 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings. 37 CFR 1.121(a)(6) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of a notch (19) on the rail (15) of the device. The specification states, on page 8, "A notch (19) provides a convenient cutting line at various locations along the *base member 12* to permit ..." (emphasis added). In order to overcome this objection, new drawings are required to show the notch on the base member (12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5, 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw, 3,282,000 in view of Negre, 5,400,552.

Shaw, '000 discloses making a bird repellant structure from injection molded plastic (i.e. the pieces which make up the structure can be made from plastic (column 3, lines 55-60). Shaw fails to disclose making the structure in the form of an injection-

Art Unit: 3644

molded unitary structure. The examiner notes that injection-molding a product that was once made from separate parts is notoriously old and well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the bird repelling device of Shaw, '000 by injection-molding the device in a single unitary mold because the courts have noted that making something separable into something integral is well within the level of someone having ordinary skill in the art. *In re Larson et. al.*, 144 USPQ 347.

Shaw, '000 discloses a glue trough (i.e. in figure 4, the space under the reference numeral 24' is considered to be a trough capable of receiving glue) but fails to explicitly disclose using glue to attach the device. Negre discloses that it is old and well known to use glue (7, figure 4) to attach a bird repelling device to a support structure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use glue, as taught by Negre, to attach the bird repelling device in Shaw, '000 to a support surface. This will prevent the repelling device from falling from the support surface during high winds.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw, '000 in view of Negre, as applied to claim 9, and in further view of Donoho, 5,253,444. Shaw discloses prongs having a square cross sectional shape. The claim recites prongs having a circular cross sectional shape. Donoho discloses that it is old and well known to make the prongs with a circular cross sectional shape wherein the end is in the form of a conical point (figure 3, column 3, lines 35 and 36). Therefore, it would have been an obvious design choice to make the prongs have a circular cross sectional shape since the specification fails to state solving any particular problem by using a

S

، سه

Q

어

²

Art Unit: 3644

circular cross sectional shape and it appears that either shape works equally as well as the other in repelling birds.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-6, 8, and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W Lavinder whose telephone number is 703-308-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday, 9-4:00pm.

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-0285 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

Jack W Lavinder Frimary Examine Art Unit 3644

4/14/00